UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,840	09/08/2003	Mark W. Kroll	A03P1062US03	1754
36802 7590 02/16/2007 PACESETTER, INC. 15900 VALLEY VIEW COURT			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON, SHEVON ELIZABETH	
SYLMAR, CA 91392-9221			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3766	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/16/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/657,840	KROLL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shevon E. Johnson	3766				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH to cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/2	7/2007.					
•						
·						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-5,8-10 and 12-20 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,6,7 and 11 is/are w 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-5,8-10 and 12-14 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 15 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 16-17 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rithdrawn from consideration					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	is have been received. Is have been received in Apprintly documents have been re	olication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ement	Mail Date rmal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 11/27/2007. Claims 2-5, 8-10 and 12-20 are currently pending. Applicant's arguments, see 7-9, filed 11/27/2007, with respect to claims 2-5, 8-10 and 12-14 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections of claims 2-5, 8-10 and 12-14 have been withdrawn. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15 and 18-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: cross-reference to related applications on page one of the specification should be updated to include the serial number of each copending application and the status of that application. If the application is now a patent the patent number should be included.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Florio (U.S. Patent No. 6,324,427) in view of Olson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6, 731, 978).

In regards to claims 15 and 18-20, Florio (col. 11, line 31 – col. 16, line 10; col. 18, lines 12 - 64) discloses the stimulation device for performing antitachycardia pacing (ATP) therapy except the method for delivering ATP therapy if both a primary pacing pulse and a backup pulse are not captured. However, Olson et al. teaches a method wherein the controller may apply different set of rules where appropriate. Particularly, apply a rule wherein if certain conditions are not met the controller can inhibit the delivery of antiarrhymia therapy until a rule is met or the controller deems it necessary in a special situation (col. 2-3 and col. 16, lines 34-48, Figs. 2 and 11). Therefore, it would have be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/657,840 Page 3

Art Unit: 3766

art to have incorporated the method as set forth by the controller as taught by Florio for the controller as

disclosed by Bradley to provide a antitachycardia therapy to a patients heart.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims. Claims 2-5, 8-10 and 12-14 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the

indication of allowable subject matter: see Applicant's arguments, see 7-9, filed 11/27/2007, with respect to

claims 2-5, 8-10 and 12-14.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to Shevon E. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2010. The examiner can normally be

reached on M-F (8 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shevon Johnson Art Unit 3766 Robert Pezzuto

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3766